UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION V

DATE:

October 1, 2007

SUBJECT:

Chemical Recovery Systems, Inc., Documentation to Explain Why the Capital Cost for the

Selected Remedy printed in the Proposed Plan Increased when presented in Record of

Decision

FROM:

Gwendolyn S. Massenburg, RPM

TO:

Chemical Recovery Systems-Site File

The purpose of this memorandum is to document why the estimated Total Present Worth Cost for the Selected Remedy (\$2,056,762) presented in the 2007 Record of Decision differed from the Estimated Total Present Worth Cost (\$1,740,000) for the same Selected Remedy presented in the July 2007 Proposed Plan Factsheet. A detailed cost estimate showed the Total Present Worth Cost should have been \$1,857882.90, before the cost of the Pre-Design, additional wells were added.

The Proposed Plan Factsheet for Chemical Recovery System (CRS) site was sent out to the public on July 13, 2007. On July 19, 2007, the CRS-PRP Site group, who also received a copy of the Proposed Plan Factsheet called and questioned if the Capital Cost for the proposed selected remedy was correct. They stated that the costs were too low and perhaps we did not consider the additional costs associated with the soil density for off-site disposal of the contaminated material. I contacted our START contractor who did agree that the cost printed in Proposed Plan did not consider the adjustment for the density of soil to be disposed. The CRS-PRP site group also pointed out a typographical error in the amount of soil proposed to be excavated from the site. During that same call, it was also pointed out that the cost for the additional monitoring wells proposed to be installed during the pre-design studies as well as the associated construction quality assurance supporting materials should be included in the cost estimate for the proposed remedy.

To that end, it should be noted that the costs for the pre-design/design documents, additional monitoring wells, the Construction Quality Assurance, and the Health and Safety documentation would increase costs for all alternatives, except for the No Action Alternative, in the estimated amount of \$179,388.000. Therefore, the selected remedy remains cost-effective when the increased amount for the additional monitoring wells is added to all alternatives. The cost for the Selected Remedy, \$2.1 million is considered to be more accurate, and within the range of +50%/-30%, typical of Superfund program remedial action cost estimates.

The Proposed Plan Fact sheet reported approximately 14,400 cubic yards would be excavated. The correct amount of soil proposed to be excavated was approximately 3,500 cubic yards. On July 28, 2007, during the public meeting, corrections were presented for both the amount of soil proposed to be excavated, and the correct remedy cost estimate. Attached to this memo are the following documents:

- One spreadsheet (dated September 2007), shows a line item comparison between the Proposed Plan Fact sheet remedy cost estimate, and the corrected remedy cost estimate, reported in the 2007 Record of Decision (ROD);
- The second spreadsheet (dated July 2007), shows a line item comparison between the selected remedy costs estimate presented by the CRS-PRP site group, to the selected remedy costs estimate presented by the Agency for presented in the 2007 ROD, and
- Table 1 showing the additional Pre-design cost added to all Alternatives.

Attachments

cc: Thomas Nash, ORC Joan Tanaka, Chief, RRS#4

| | Α | B CHEMICAL RECOV | С | D | E | F | | |
|----------|--|--|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | ELYRIA, LORAINE COUNTY, OHIO COST ESTIMATE FOR EXCAVATION | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Activity | Description | Quantity Original Cost | | Revised Cost | Comments | | |
| 5 | Transportation and Disposal ^{1,2} | | 3,500 yd3 ^{a,b} | \$272,832.00 | | density to 1.5 ton/yd3 soi | | |
| | Analytical ^{c,d} | Voc | 30 * \$100/sample | \$3,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | | | |
| 7 | | TCLP VOC | 10 * \$200/sample | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | | | |
| _ | Subcontractors | | | | | | | |
| 9 10 | Asbestos Survey ³ Asbestos Removal ³ | | 1 LS 1 LS | \$6,000.00 \$100,000.00 | \$6,000.00 \$100,000.00 | | | |
| 11 | Demolition ³ | Warehouse and building | 1 LS | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | | | |
| 12 | Crushing of foundations ³ | | 1 LS | \$35,000.00 | \$35,000.00 | | | |
| | Clearing and Grubbing ³ | | 2.5 acres | \$13,750.00 | \$13,750.00 | | | |
| 15 | Fencing ⁴ Deed restriction ³ | | 1,300 linear feet 1 LS | \$27,900.00 \$2,000.00 | \$27,900.00 \$2,000.00 | | | |
| 16 | | | 1 LS | \$12,000.00 | \$12,000.00 | | | |
| 17 | Regrade of river slope ³ | | 1 LS | \$2,300.00 | \$2,300.00 | | | |
| 18 19 | Erosion control matting ⁵ Hydroseeding ⁵ | | 2,300 SF 109,000 SF | \$690.00 \$4,905.00 | \$690.00 \$4,905.00 | | | |
| 20 | Snow Fencing ⁶ | | 109,000 SF | \$29,250.00 | \$4,900.00 | <u> </u> | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Equipment ^{e,f} | excavator | 1 X 2 months | \$9,000.00 | \$9,000.00 | | | |
| 23 24 | | dozer loader | 1 x 1 month 1 X 1 months | \$3,500.00 \$3,500.00 | \$3,500.00 \$3,500.00 | Dozer for soil cover | | |
| 25 | | mob/demob | 2X \$500 X 2 | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | | | |
| 26 | | office trailer | 1 for 3 months | \$600.00 | \$600.00 | | | |
| 27 28 | | Multi-Rae | 3 month rental | \$1,614.00 \$2,728.00 | \$1,614.00 \$2,728.00 | | | |
| 28 29 | | PDR (Dust Monitor) PDRs | 4 for 1 month rental 1 for 2 month rental | \$2,728.00 | \$2,728.00 \$1,364.00 | | | |
| 30 | | fuel | \$150 per day | \$9,000.00 | \$9,000.00 | | | |
| | Workers** | | | | | | | |
| 32 33 | 2 operators for 3 weeks | operators regularOT | 2X40/week X 3 weeks 2X20/week X 3 weeks | \$12,480.00 \$7,920.00 | \$12,480.00 \$7,920.00 | | | |
| 34 | 1 operator for 8 weeks | operators regular | 1X40/week X 8 weeks | \$16,640.00 | \$16,640.00 | | | |
| 35 | | ОТ | 1X20/week X 8 weeks | \$10,560.00 | \$10,560.00 | | | |
| 36 | 1 RM | RM Clots | 1X60/week X 11 weeks 1X40/week X 11 weeks | \$42,900.00 \$15,840.00 | \$42,900.00 \$15,840.00 | | | |
| 37 38 | 1 clerk | Clerk | 1X20/week X 11 weeks | \$9,900.00 | \$9,900.00 | | | |
| 39 | 2 technicians for 3 weeks | technician | 2X40/week X 3 weeks | \$10,080.00 | \$10,080.00 | | | |
| 40 | / tach-isiana fan O waal- | OT | 2X20/week X 3 weeks | \$6,360.00 | \$6,360.00 | | | |
| 41 42 | technicians for 8 weeks | technician OT | 1X40/week X 8 weeks 1X20/week X 8 weeks | \$13,440.00 \$8,480.00 | \$13,440.00 \$8,480.00 | | | |
| 43 | 1 EPA/contractor | EPA/contractor oversight | 1X60/week X 11 weeks | \$66,000.00 | \$66,000.00 | | | |
| 44 | travel days | 1/day for mob/demob/person | 5 hrs one way | 3440 | \$3,440.00 | | | |
| 45 | Backfill | Backfill soil Analytical ⁹ | 1 | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | | | |
| 47 | | 2-foot clean soil | 11,625 yd3 | \$104,625.00 | \$104,625.00 | | | |
| | Travel | hotel | 7 days X11 weeks/person | \$46,200.00 | \$46,200.00 | | | |
| 49 50 | | per diem ⁸ vehicle | 7 days X11 weeks/person 4 X 70 X7*11 | \$24,948.00 \$21,560.00 | \$24,948.00 \$21,560.00 | | | |
| _ | Miscellaneous | Vernoic | 4 X 70 X7 11 | \$21,000.00 | 42 1,000.00 | | | |
| 52 | Project Setup, procurement | Field clerk | 3 weeks | \$675.00 | \$675.00 | | | |
| | staging area construction | month | 2 months | \$2,000.00 \$600.00 | \$2,000.00 \$600.00 | | | |
| | utilities haul road construction | month | 3 months | \$300.00 | \$300.00 | | | |
| 56 | Demarcation liner installed | | | 7223.30 | \$30,000.00 | for snowfence liner | | |
| | vell construction | 6 wells | \$10k per well | 65 000 00 | | construction cost | | |
| _ | other misc items Total | | | \$5,000.00 \$1,116,939.00 | \$5,000.00 \$1,212,839.00 | | | |
| 60 | 10% Contingency | | | \$111,693.90 | \$121,283.90 | | | |
| 61 | Grand Total | | | \$1,228,632.90 | \$1,334,122.90 | 21.74 | | |
| | 5% Predesign and Engineering D 2% Construction Quality Assuran | esign Work ce and Health & Safety Oversight | | | \$66,706.15 \$26.682.46 | predesign and design Construction C/A and FRAS | | |
| 64 | Capital Cost | oo and Hould a duloty Oversight | | \$1,228,632.90 | \$1,427,511.50 | | | |
| 65 | Annual O&M cost ³ | | | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | | | |
| 66 | the first four years Fresent Worth of O&M | | | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | | | |
| | Present Worth of O&M Projected for 30 years at 8% retu | <u> </u> | | \$563,000.00 | \$563,000.00 | | | |
| 69 | Frojected for 4 years at 8% return | | | \$66,250.00 | \$66,250.00 | | | |
| | Present Worth of Oam | | | | \$629,250.00 | | | |
| | Capital Cost + Present Worth o | 1 O&M | | \$1,857,882.90 | \$2,056,761.50 | | | |
| 72 73 | See Assumptions and notes or | the next name | | | | | | |
| 74 | Con Vesicial hands of | i are tievr balde | | | | | | |
| لمتنا | | | | | | | | |

| | A | В | С | D | Ε | F | | | |
|----------|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Assumptions | | | | | | | | |
| 76 | Soil estimate is assuming 0.5 be 1 15 tons per cubic yard | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | b. Soil is assumed to be 75% no | o. Soil is assumed to be 75% non-hazardous and that 25% will fail TCLP or 10 X LDR requirements | | | | | | | |
| 78 | c. 10 TCLP sample would be coll | lected from excavated soil for dispo | osal analysis | | | | | | |
| 79 | d. 30 cleanup confirmation samp | | | | | | | | |
| 80 | e. Work week = 12 hours / day > | (5 days/ week | | | | | | | |
| 81 | f. Site work would take 11 weeks | assuming 7 trucks per day will ma | ake trips to the landfill | | | | | | |
| 82 | g. 1 clean soil sample from the v | endor would be would be analyzed | prior to backfilling on the site. | | | | | | |
| 83 | | | | | | | | | |
| 84 | Notes | | | | | | | | |
| 86 87 | \$16/ton for transportation of non-l 2. Transportation and disposal or for 10 X LDR soil and \$110/ton ar disposal for 10 X LDR and Hazar 3. Costs for asbestos survey, as restriction, sewer replacement/plucalculation were taken from the P | | | | | | | | |
| ı | Cost for fencing estimation wa Fence Inc indicated that permane costs. | | | | | | | | |
| | Great Lakes Hydroseeding Co and hydroseeding with tactifier at | | | | | | | | |
| - } | Snow fence would be used to estimation was obtained from Dis- installation was assumed to be \$1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 7. Backfill quantity is estimated to with a truck delivering 11 Cubic ya | | | | | | | | |
| 92 | 8. Federal hotel and per diem rat | | | | | | | | |
| 93 | Cost estimates prepared by STN | | | | | | | | |

July 25, 2007

Ms. Gwendolyn Massenburg Remedial Project Manager U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604

Subject:

Cost Estimate for Soil Excavation and Soil Cover

Chemical Recovery System Site

142 Locust Street

Elyria, OH

Dear Ms. Massenburg:

T N & Associates, Inc. (TN&A), a member of the STN Environmental Joint Venture with Sullivan International Group, Inc., has revised the cost estimate for excavation and soil cover alternative, as proposed in the Remedial Investigation Report by Parsons Engineering, dated August 2006. This cost estimate is prepared in accordance with the requirements of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Technical Direction Document (TDD) No. S05-0701-001 for the Chemical Recovery System Site, located in Elyria, Ohio. The revised estimate is updated with the costs for alternative design, treatment & disposal, monitoring well construction and O&M for 30 years. TN&A's cost estimates are provided in Attachment A.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at 312/220-7000.

Sincerely,

Raghu Nagam Project Manager

Ragher Nagam.

Attachment A - TN&A Cost Estimates



APPENDIX A

T N & A Cost Estimates

(2 Pages)

CHEMICAL RECOVERY SYSTEM SITE
ELYRIA, LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO

USEPA COST ESTIMATE FOR EXCAVATION AND SOIL COVER PROPOSED PLAN REMEDY

Disclaimer — changes made to this cost estimate by persons associated with the CRS Site Group are intended to correct
errors in the original cost estimate prepared by EPA's contractor for the Proposed Plan. The CRS Site Group and its
members do not endorse or accept this cost estimate or the proposed remedy and our input into this process does not waive
or in any way compromise current or future positions with regard to remedy issues and site costs. It all costs are estimates
based on assumptions that are subject to change and the purpose of this exercise is to generate a single total cost number for
public consideration.

Activity

Description

Quantity

Cost

EPA Contractor takes exception to this statament as
we believe that our cost estimates are reallistic and
are based on our extensive experience working or
mendiation sites under U.S. EPA Region 5

Activity

Description

Quantity

Cost

EPA Contractor takes exception to this statament as
we believe that our cost estimates are reallistic and
are based on our extensive experience working or
mendiation sites under U.S. EPA Region 5

Comments

| Activity | Description | Quantity | Cost | EPA Cost | Comments |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|---|
| Transportation and Disposal | | 3,500 yd3 | \$341,040.00 | \$341,040.00 | START adjusted soil density to 1.5 ton/yd3 soil |
| | Final Sampling | 20 * \$500/sample | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | |
| | Disposal Characterization | 10 * \$200/sample | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | |
| Subcontractors | | | | | |
| Asbestos Survey | THE RESERVE OF THE RE | 1 LS | \$6,000.00 | \$6,000.00 | |
| Asbestos Removal ¹ | | 1 LS | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | |
| Demolition ¹ | Warehouse and building | 1 LS | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | |
| Crushing of foundations ¹ | | 1 LS | \$35,000.00 | \$35,000.00 | |
| Clearing and Grubbing | | 2.5 acres | \$13,750.00 | \$13,750.00 | |
| Fencing ² | | 1,300 linear feet | \$27,900.00 | \$27,900.00 | |
| Deed restriction ¹ | | 1 LS | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | |
| Sewer replacement ¹ | | 1 LS | \$12,000.00 | \$12,000.00 | |
| Regrade of river slope ¹ | 7,11-12 | 1 LS | \$2,300.00 | \$2,300.00 | |
| Erosion control matting ³ | | 2,300 SF | \$690.00 | \$690.00 | |
| Hydroseeding ³ | | 109.000 SF | \$4,905.00 | \$4,905.00 | |
| , | | | 4.1 | 7.7 | |
| Equipment*,9 | excavator | 1 X 3 months | \$13,500.00 | \$9,000.00 | START calculated for 2 months rental |
| | dozer | 1 x 1 month | \$3,500.00 | | Dozer for soil cover |
| | loader | 1 X 2 months | \$7,000.00 | | START calculated for 1 month rental |
| | mob/demob | 3X \$500 X 2 | \$3,000.00 | | Mob/Demob only for two equipments |
| | office trailer | 1 for 3 months | \$600.00 | \$600.00 | |
| | Multi-Rae | 3 month rental | \$1,614.00 | \$1,614.00 | |
| | PDR (Dust Monitor) | 4 for 2 month rental | \$5,456.00 | | START calculated for 1 month rental |
| | PDRs | 1 for 2 month rental | \$1,364.00 | \$1,364.00 | |
| | fuel | \$150 per day | \$9,000.00 | \$9,000.00 | |
| Workers 4.5 | | | | ESTATE AND A STATE OF | |
| | operators regular | 2X40/week X 6 weeks | \$24,960.00 | | START calculated for 3 weeks |
| | OT | 2X20/week X 6 weeks | \$15,840.00 | \$7,920.00 | START calculated for 3 weeks |
| | operators regular | 1X40/week X 9 weeks | \$18,720.00 | | START calculated for 8 weeks |
| | OT | 1X20/week X 9 weeks | \$11,880.00 | \$10,560.00 | START calculated for 8 weeks |
| | RM | 1X60/week X 12 weeks | \$46,800.00 | \$42,900.00 | START calculated for 11 weeks |
| | Clerk | 1X40/week X 12 weeks | \$17,280.00 | \$15,840.00 | START calculated for 11 weeks |
| | OT | 1X20/week X 12 weeks | \$10,800.00 | \$9,900.00 | START calculated for 11 weeks |
| | technician | 2X40/week X 6 weeks | \$20,160.00 | \$10,080.00 | START calculated for 3 weeks |
| | OT | 2X20/week X 6 weeks | \$12,720.00 | \$6,360.00 | START calculated for 3 weeks |
| | technician OT | 1X40/week X 9 weeks 1X20/week X 9 weeks | \$15,120.00 | \$13,440.00 | START calculated for 8 weeks |
| | EPA/contractor oversight | 1X60/week X 12 weeks | \$9,540.00 \$72,000.00 | 417, 100.00 | START calculated for 8 weeks START calculated for 11 weeks |
| | 1/day for mob/demob/person | 5 hrs one way | \$3,440.00 | \$3,440.00 | OTATT GROUNDED FOR TH WORKS |
| uuru uuys | mady for industrial indusperson | o in a unic may | φ3,440.00 | φ3,440.00 | |
| Backfill | Backfill soil Analytical® | 1 | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | |
| | 2-foot clean soil | 12,000 yd3 | \$108,000.00 | \$104,625.00 | START calculated for 11,625 yd3 |
| | | | | | START calculated for 11 weeks (from 9 weeks |
| | hotel ⁸ | 7 days X12 weeks/person | \$37,800.00 | | projected in our last estimate) for 6 persons |
| | per diem ^a | 7 days X12 weeks/person | \$20,412.00 | \$24,948.00 | START calculated for 11 weeks for 6 persons |
| | vehicle | 5 X 70 X7*12 | \$23,520.00 | \$21,560.00 | START calculated for 11 weeks for 4 vehicles |
| Miscellaneous | | | | | |
| Project Setup, procurement | Field clerk | 3 weeks | \$675.00 | \$675.00 | |
| staging area construction | m outh | 2 months | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | |
| utilities that road construction | month | 3 months | \$600.00 \$300.00 | \$600.00 \$300.00 | |
| Demarcation liner installed | | | \$300.00 | | START estimated \$30,000 for snowfence liner |
| | 6 wells | \$10k per well | \$60,000.00 | | START adjusted for well construction cost |
| other misc items | y Hund | procepts well | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | O TALLE BUJUSTED FOR WELL CONSTRUCTION COST |
| Total | | | \$1,332,186.00 | \$1,212,839.00 | |
| 10% Contingency | | | \$133,218.60 | \$121,283.90 | |
| Grand Total | | | \$1,465,404.60 | \$1,334,122.90 | |
| Capital Cost | | | \$1,465,404.60 | \$1,334,122.90 | |
| 10% Predesign and Engineering | Design Work | | \$146,540.46 | | START estimated 5% for predesign and design |
| 10% Construction Quality Assura | | rsight | \$146,540.46 | \$26,682.46 | START estimated 2% for Construction QA and H&S |
| Annual O&M cost ¹ | , | 100,000 per year | | , | John Sand College |
| | | , o o o p or j o ca | - 1 | | START calculated Present Worth of O&M with an |
| Present Worth of O&M | | | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | annual O&M cost of \$50,000 for 30 years and an |
| projected for 30 years at 8% | | | | | additional annual O&M cost of \$20,000 for the first for |
| return) ¹ | | and the same | \$1,126,000.00 | \$629,250.00 | |
| Capital Cost + Present Worth | | 55-9 - 9-0E (m) | | | |
| of O&M | | | \$2,884,485.52 | \$2,056,761.50 | |

Assumption:

- a Transportation and Disposal estimate assumes 0.5 acres excavated to 4 feet. Soil density is assumed to be 1.5 tons per cubic yard.
- b Soil is assumed to be 75% non-hazardous and that 25% will fail TCLP or 10 X LDR requirements
- c. Work week = 12 hours / day X 5 days/ week
- d. Site work would take 9-11 weeks assuming 7 trucks per day will make trips to the landfill
- I. TCLP samples would be collected from excavated soil for disposal analysis
- g. 30 site soil samples to be collected for determining VOC concentrations that will remain on site
- h. Transportation and disposal cost for non-haz soil is based on the quote from Waste Management showing \$22.77/km for disposal. \$16/fon for transportation of non-haz soil, plus fuel surcharge and \$4/fruck environmental fee
- Transportation and disposal cost for haz soil is based on the quote from EQ showing \$90 for for disposal, \$36 for for fransportation for 10 X LDR soil and \$110 /lon and \$36 for for haz soil. The disposal for 10 X LDR and Hazardous Waste was averaged for \$95 for for disposal
- j. Backtill quantity is estimated to cover a 2-tool cap on the 2.5-acre property + 4 feet on 0.5 acres, Gregory Trucking, Inc. gave a quote of \$90 per truck, with a truck delivering 11 Cubic yards
- k. The EPA/contractor oversight cost item is limited to the on-site observation of the construction of the remedy and does not include EPA past costs, EPA oversight costs for the Remedial Design, Remedial Action, and Operation and Maintenance, nor does it include administrative and legal costs associated with the site.
- 1. Costs for asbestos survey, asbestos removal, demolition of buildings, removal of foundations, clearing and grubbing, deed restriction, sever replacement/plugging, regrade of slope to river, annual O&M cost and rate of return for total present worth calculation were taken from the Parsons Cost Estimate. Additional annual O&M Cost of \$20,000 were added for the first four years to reflect additional monitoring requirements that were not in Parsons Cost Estimate. Sampling and analysis costs, which may initially esceed the average annual cost, are expected to decline after two years when the monitoring frequency can move from quarterly to semi-annually and the number of wells sampled may be reduced.
- 2. Cost for tending estimation was given by Elyria Fence Inc. for a 8tt chainlink fence at \$21/linear foot plus \$00 or the gate. Elyria Fence lor indicated that permanent fencing within the Elyria city limits would require black viriny coating and would probably triple the costs
- Great Lakes Hydroseeding Construction gave the cost estimate for erosion control matting plus seeding to be \$0.3/ Square toot and hydroseeding with tactifier at \$0.045/square toot
- 4. Work week = 12 hours / day X 5 days/ week
- 5. Site work is estimated to take 9-12 weeks
- 6. I clean soil sample from the vendor would be would be analyzed prior to backlilling on the site
- 7. Backfill quantity is estimated to cover a 2-tool cap on the 2.5-acre property + 4 feet of \$11 in the 0.5 acre excavation area, Gregory Trucking, Inc. gave a quote of \$90 per truck, with a truck delivering 11 Cubic varies
- 8. Federal hotel and per diem rates for this area were used for this cost estimate

Cost estimates prepared by STN Environmental, JV, under START contract EP-S5-06-03 and TDD number S05-0701-001 with input from Parsons Engineering under contract with the CRS Site Group

Table 1 Pre-Design Cost Added to all Alternatives Except the No Action Alternative

| Evaluation | Alternatives | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Criteria | Additional Cost Added to all Alternatives for the Pre-design Additional Well Placement | | | | | | | |
| Criteria | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| 1. Overall Protection of Human Health & the Environment | = | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | |
| 2. Compliance with ARARs | = | B | 6 | 6 | 6 | B | Œ | |
| 3. Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence | = | | | • | | B | Œ | |
| 4. Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility, of Volume Through Treatment | = | Ē | = | = | = | Œ | Œ | |
| 5. Short-Term Effectiveness | = | = # | ■# | = # | = # | = # | :■ # | |
| 6. Implementability | = | ⊕ | Œ | 1 | Œ | 1 | B | |
| 7. Cost Capital Construction Cost (including 30-yr. operation & maintenance period | \$0 | \$1.34 Million + \$179,388 | \$1.25 Million + \$179,388 | \$1.35 Million + \$179,388 | \$1.40 Million + \$179,388 | \$1.90 Million + \$179,388 | \$7.91 Million/ \$24Milliom* + \$179,388 | |
| of a minimum of 30 years; approx. \$70,000 1 st 4years, then \$50,000 annually) | | Cost with new wells | Cost with new wells \$1,429,388 | Cost with new wells \$1,529,388 | Cost with new wells | Cost with new wells \$2,079,388 | Cost with new wells \$8,089,388/ \$25,179,388 | |
| 8. State Acceptance | = | Œ | Œ | Œ | 6 | B | Œ | |
| 9. Community Acceptance | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | |

Does not meet criteria

Partially meets criteria

TBD to be determined after comment period

A Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) treatment system was also evaluated to treat the "hotspot" area located in the NW corner. It was determined that selection of the SVE remedy had a high potential for being inefficient and problematic.

Fully meets criteria

^{*}Dust produced during demolition, excavation and re-grading of the CRS-Site is temporary with short-term exposure.

^{*}Smaller amount is the cost for disposal at a solid waste facility; larger amount is the cost for disposal at a hazardous waste facility.